

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/26/2004	William G. Lionetta	2802-257-088	2054
590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
John A. Molnar, Jr. PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION 6035 Parkland Boulevard Cleveland, OH 44124-4141		NGO, HUNG V	
		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2831	THE EN NOMBER
	01/26/2004 590 07/14/2006 ar, Jr. NNIFIN CORPORATION Boulevard	01/26/2004 William G. Lionetta 590 07/14/2006 ar, Jr. NNIFIN CORPORATION Boulevard	01/26/2004 William G. Lionetta 2802-257-088 590 07/14/2006 EXAM ar, Jr. NNIFIN CORPORATION Boulevard ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/764,956	LIONETTA ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hung V. Ngo	2831		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address		
	N. V. IO OFT TO EVENE - 1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	-04-06			
	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		itters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	•			
•	•			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Drianity worden 25 H C C C 440				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. (.)			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr				
application from the International Bure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in received in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	at received		
	ot of the contined copies he			
	•			
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,956

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 9, 11-15, 20, 23, 28, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miska (US 6,541,698).

Miska discloses an EMI shield capable of enclosing an electronic device having at least one compartment, a first member (16, 18) made of a thin metal sheet (col. 3, lines 58-60), a second member (15) made of a polymeric component and an electrically conductive particulate filler component (col. 4, lines 1-6), the second member being integrally joined to the first member, and having at least one wall which extends from the first member and which together with the first member defines at least a portion of said compartment (re claims 1, 20)

Re claims 4, 23, wherein the shielding effectiveness is inherent because Miska discloses the structure as claimed.

Re claims 9, 11, 28 30, see Figs 1, 3.

Re claims 12, 13, 31, 32, a conductive layer (28, 30, 32, 34) made of metal (col. 4, line 45)(Fig 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,956

Art Unit: 2831

Re claims 14, 33, the gasket comprises an elastomeric resin (polyethylene) filled with conductive particulates (metal filler) (col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 6)

Re claims 15, 34, see Fig 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 5-8, 10, 16-19, 21, 22, 24-27, 29, 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miska.

The teaching of Miska as discussed above does not disclose the polymeric component made of thermosetting, epoxies (re claims 2, 3), the volume resistivity (re claim 5), the amount of filler (re claim 6), the metal sheet or wall has a thickness (re claims 7, 8), the sheet made of aluminum (re claim 10), the particulate filler or conductive coating made of conductive fibers such as graphite (re claims 16-18), the fiber having an average length of 0.004-1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the resistivity, the metal sheet or wall with a specific thickness for intended use, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 2831

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Miska by employing the specific material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05-04-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) that Miska does not dislose the second member is integrally joined, (2) that the second member is self bonded.

With respect to (1), "integral" is sufficient broad to embrace construction united by such means as fastening and welding. –in re Hotte (CCPA) 177 USPQ 326.

With respect to (2), see Figs 1, 3.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/764,956 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 EXT 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVN 07-07-06

> HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hy VNa